## Performance of the 2017 European League Against Rheumatism/American College of Rheumatology classification criteria for adult and juvenile idiopathic inflammatory myopathies in clinical practice

The 2017 European League Against Rheumatism/American College of Rheumatology (EULAR/ACR) classification criteria for idiopathic inflammatory myopathies (IIM) are the first validated classification criteria for IIM. The criteria provide a score with a corresponding probability of having IIM. The cut-offs for classifying a patient with IIM were set at  $\geq 5.5$  and  $\geq 6.7$  in the

Table 1	Clinical characteristics of patients with an inflammatory				
myopathy and controls					

Characteristics	IIM	Controls		
n	95	72		
Age (years)*	62.3 (52.3–71.3)	54.5 (45.0–72.4)		
Female:male ratio	2.7	1.3		
Symptom duration time (months)*	4.0 (2.0–10.0)	7.0 (1.5–24.0)		
Proximal muscle weakness	76.8%	47.2%		
Dysphagia	26.3%	9.7%		
Elevated muscle enzymes	83.2%	69.4%		
Myopathic EMG	67.8% (61/90)	/90) 36.5% (23/63)		
Skin involvement	53.7%	1.4%		
Interstitial lung disease	36.8%	4.2%		
Arthritis	24.2%	2.8%		
Raynaud phenomenon	21.1%	4.2%		
MSA and/or MAA†	58.9%	0%		
Anti-Jo-1	16.8%	0%		
HEP-2 titre ≥1:80	61.1%	9.7%		
Muscle biopsy done	91.6%	100%		
Muscle biopsy positive	97.7%	0%		
Clinical diagnosis				
Dermatomyositis	27.1%			
Antisynthetase syndrome	22.1%			
Immune-mediated necrotising myopathy	14.7%			
Myositis overlap syndrome	14.7%			
Polymyositis	10.5%			
Cancer-associated myositis	7.4%			
Inclusion body myositis	1.1%			
Unspecified myositis	2.1%			

<sup>\*</sup>Median (IQR).

†MSA (myositis- specific antibodies) or MAA (myositis-associated antibodies): anti-Jo-1, anti-PL7, anti-PL12, anti-Mi-2, anti-SRP, anti-HMGCR, anti-MDA5; anti-TIF1<sub>γ</sub>; anti-NXP-2; anti-SAE; anti-Ku; anti-PM-Scl; anti-Ro; anti-U1RNP; anti-Scl-70. EMG, electromyography; IIM, idiopathic inflammatory myopathies.

probability models without and with available muscle biopsy results, respectively. At these cut-offs, the criteria had an 87% and 93% sensitivity, and 82% and 88% specificity, in the model without and with the muscle biopsy results. The criteria were tested for sensitivity, but not for specificity in two independent cohorts.<sup>1</sup>

We evaluated the performance of these criteria in a retrospective cohort of consecutively diagnosed IIM cases administered at our secondary/tertiary rheumatology centre between January 2010 and October 2017. The controls were the consecutive patients, without proof of IIM, who had an extensive diagnostic work-up for IIM, including muscle biopsy, during the same time period.

During the 94-month observation period we diagnosed IIM in 95 patients (72.6% female, age range 28-87 years). A muscle biopsy was performed in 87 (91.6%) patients, and was consiscopyright tent with IIM in 97.7% of them. The control group consisted of 72 subjects (55.6% female, age range 18-88 years). The baseline clinical features of the cases and controls, and the clinical diagnoses of the cases are presented in table 1.

We assessed the sensitivity and specificity of the EULAR/ACR IIM criteria using the model that predicted the probability of IIM without muscle biopsy data in all patients, the model that predicted the probability of IIM with muscle biopsy data in all patients that had biopsy results available, and the model with or without biopsy data depending on the availability of the muscle biopsy results, respectively. The results are presented in table 2. The cases that were misclassified were predominantly those with an immune-mediated necrotising myopathy (35%), and those with a mild myopathy or without a myopathy, who had an interstitial lung disease, and myositis-specific antibodies other than a-Jo-1 (40%). In the control group, the cases presenting with severe toxic rhabdomyolysis were most commonly false positive (71.4%).

To summarise, in our retrospective IIM cohort, the 2017 EULAR/ ACR IIM criteria showed a lower sensitivity at a comparable specificity to those originally reported. The lower sensitivity might be at least partially explained by the omission of the histological features of a necrotising myopathy in the muscle biopsy item, and the restriction of the antibodies' item solely to the presence of anti-Jo-1. These limitations had already been raised by the authors.

## Alojzija Hočevar, Ziga Rotar, Monika Krosel, Saša Čučnik, Matija Tomšič

Department of Rheumatology, University Medical Centre Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia

Correspondence to Dr Alojzija Hočevar, Department of Rheumatology, Universitiy Medical Centre Ljubljana, Ljubljana 1000, Slovenia; alojzija.hocevar@gmail.com

Contributors All authors met the authorship requirements. AH and MT designed the study question. AH, SC and MK collected the data. AH and ZR analysed the data. AH prepared the first draft of the manuscript. AH, ZR, MT, MK and SC significantly contributed to the final manuscript.

Funding The study was funded by the Slovenian National Research (grant P3-0314).

Table 2 The sensitivity and specificity of the new EULAR/ACR classification criteria for idiopathic inflammatory myopathies						
	Sensitivity % (95% CI)	Specificity % (95% CI)	Positive predictive value % (95% CI)	Negative predictive value % (95% CI)		
Using the probability model <i>without</i> muscle biopsy results n <sub>cases</sub> =95; n <sub>controls</sub> =72	74.7 (64.8 to 83.1)	80.6 (69.5 to 88.9)	83.5 (75.8 to 89.2)	70.7 (62.7 to 77.7)		
Using the probability model <i>with</i> muscle biopsy results n <sub>cases</sub> =87; n <sub>controls</sub> =72	80.5 (70.6 to 88.2)	90.3 (81.0 to 96.0)	90.9 (83.1 to 95.3)	79.3 (71.3 to 85.5)		
Using the probability model depending on the availability of the muscle biopsy results $n_{cases}$ =95; $n_{controls}$ =72	78.9 (69.4 to 86.6)	90.3 (81.0 to 96.0)	91.5 (84.0 to 95.6)	76.5 (68.6 to 82.8)		
ACR, American College of Rheumatology; EULAR, European League Against Rheumatism.						

Protec

ş

₫

uses related to text

and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies

## Correspondence

Competing interests None declared.

Ethics approval Slovenian National Medical Ethics Committee.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; internally peer reviewed.

© Article author(s) (or their employer(s) unless otherwise stated in the text of the article) 2018. All rights reserved. No commercial use is permitted unless otherwise expressly granted.



To cite Hočevar A, Rotar Z, Krosel M, et al. Ann Rheum Dis 2018;77:e90.

Received 30 November 2017 Accepted 3 December 2017 Published Online First 13 December 2017



http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2017-212786

Ann Rheum Dis 2018;77:e90. doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2017-212774

## REFERENCE

1 Lundberg IE, Tjärnlund A, Bottai M, et al. 2017 European league against rheumatism/ American college of rheumatology classification criteria for adult and juvenile idiopathic inflammatory myopathies and their major subgroups. Ann Rheum Dis 2017;76:1955–64.